UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
v. Robert Eugene Secord	Case No. 1:11-cr-00234-RJJ		
Defendant Defendant	Case No. 1.11-0-00234-Nos		
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Refo	orm Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require		
Part I – Fin	ndings of Fact		
	n 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of nat would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had		
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	56(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for		
an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.			
an offense for which a maximum prison term of	ten years or more is prescribed in:		
a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.			
any felony that is not a crime of violence but inv	olves:		
a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm of a failure to register under 18 U.S.C.	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon . § 2250		
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed who or local offense.	hile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state		
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the _ offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the		
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presum person or the community. I further find that defendant	nption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another thas not rebutted that presumption.		
Alternative	e Findings (A)		
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant			
for which a maximum prison term of ten years o Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et se under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption estal will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance an	blished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.		
✓ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appe	e Findings (B) ear.		
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endange	er the safety of another person or the community.		
	he Reasons for Detention		
I find that the testimony and information submitted at the evidence a preponderance of the evidence that: 1. Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to confuse 2. Defendant has been in state custody and would not be released. 3. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to	ased in any case.		

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	September 28, 2011	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
_		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge